

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/178,126	10/23/1998	TIMOTHY STIVLAND	1001.1294101	4007	
7:	590 08/30/2002				
GLENN M SEAGER			EXAMINER		
	SEAGER & TUFTE AVENUE SOUTH		LAM, A	NN Y	
SUITE 895 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 554012246			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WIII VI VEZ II OEZ	15, 1111 55 15122 15		3763		
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2002	DATE MAILED: 08/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	•	09/178,126	STIVLAND ET AL.	\mathcal{U}
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ann Y. Lam	3763	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	i	vith the correspondence address	ss
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (s) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the period by the Office later than three months after the main displacement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ınication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u>8 May 2002</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims			ierits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 49-100 is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 49-100 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) 🔲 🤈	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
12) 🔲 🤈	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in .	Application No	
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		ge
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•		plication).
а	The translation of the foreign language $ $ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has	been received.	,
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	
J.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Pap	er No. 26

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 1. Claims 49-55, and 61-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sirhan et al., 5,743,875. Sirhan et al. discloses a first tube (32) including an inflation lumen (36 and proximal portion of 37) in fluid communication with a dilatation balloon (distal portion of 37), an inside wall surface, and an outside wall surface, said first tube having an orifice (42) in a proximal portion of said first tube; a second tube inserted through, and extending distally from said orifice inside said inflation lumen, said second tube having a length, a lumen therethrough, a proximal portion, an inside wall surface and an outside wall surface, see Figure 16; and a bonding region wherein said second tube outside wall surface is bonded to said first tube outside wall surface by re-flow of the first and second tube outside wall surfaces, see column 3, lines 17-23, and column 7, line 59 column 8, line 16, said second tube inside wall surface being formed of a second, lubricous material for a majority of said second tube length, said first tube wall having a layer of a first, flexible material

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/178,126

Art Unit: 3763

extending for a majority of said first tube length, said first material being different from said second material, see column 9, lines 46-53.

As to claim 50, said bonding region is proximate said orifice, see column 5, lines 52-56, and see Figure 6.

As to claim 51, said bonding region includes bonding between said first tube inside surface and said second tube outside surface distal of said orifice, see column 3, lines 17-23.

As to claim 52, said second tube inside and outside wall surfaces are formed of said second material, see column 9, lines 46-53.

As to claim 53, said second tube wall is formed of substantially said second material therethrough, see column 9, lines 46-53.

As to claim 54, said first tube inside surface is formed of said second material proximate said bonding region, see column 9, lines 46-53.

As to claim 55, said first tube has said second material disposed over most of said first tube inside surface proximate said bonding region and distal of said bonding region, see column 9, lines 46-53.

As to claims 61-64, Sirhan et al. disclose that the catheter shaft, including the inner and outer tubular members may be made from polymeric materials such as polyethylene, polyamide, etc and other suitable polymeric materials, see column 9, lines 46-53.

Claim R j ctions - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/178,126

Art Unit: 3763

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 56, 67, 69 and 73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sirhan et al., 5,743,875, in view Fontirroche et al., 5,538,510. Sirhan et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, see above. However, Sirhan et al. does not disclose a tie-layer disposed between the inside and outside layers.

Fontirroche discloses a tie-layer, comprising Plexar ™ for example, may be used to bond together dissimilar outer plastic layers, see column 2, lines 35-38. Moreover, Fontirroche discloses that the chemical bonding between two catheter layers can be subsequently heat treated, see column 3, lines 17-20. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Plexar as a tie-layer to bond the plastic layers of the Sirhan et al. catheter, as taught by Fontirroche.

3. Claims 57, 66, 68, 70 and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sirhan et al., 5,743,875, in view Fontirroche et al., 5,538,510, as applied to claims 56, 65, 69, 71, 73 above, and further in view of Berg et al., 5,792,116. Sirhan et al. in view of Fontirroche et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, see above with respect to claim 56. More specifically, Sirhan discloses that polymeric materials may be used to form the inner or outer tubular members, see

Art Unit: 3763

column 9, lines 46-53. However, Sirhan et al. in view of Fontirroche et al. does not disclose an outside layer of PEBA.

Berg et al. however discloses PEBA as a known polymer used to form catheters, see column 6, line 8. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use PEBA, as taught by Berg et al., as the polymeric material to form the outside layer in the Sirhan et al. in view of Fontirroche et al. device.

4. Claims 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sirhan et al., 5,743,875, in view of Javier, Jr. et al., 6,093,177. Sirhan et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, see above. However, Sirhan et al. does not disclose the first tube having an inside surface formed of said second material proximate said bonding region and has said inside surface formed of said first material distal of said bonding region.

However, Javier, Jr. et al. discloses that relative flexibility or the inverse stiffness of the various shaft sections of a catheter may be achieved by selecting different materials. "[T]he material used in the intermediate shaft section may be inherently more flexible than a different material used in the proximal or distal shaft sections", see column 2, lines 5-15. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide different materials on different shaft sections of the Sirhan et al. catheter in order to achieve the desired flexibility of the catheter shaft sections as may be necessary for a particular treatment of a patient

Art Unit: 3763

5. Claims 59, 65 and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sirhan et al., 5,743,875, in view of Javier, Jr. et al., 6,093,177 as applied to claim 58 above, and further in view of Fontirroche et al., 5,538,510.

Sirhan et al. in view of Javier, Jr. et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, see above with respect to claim 58. However, Sirhan et al. in view of Javier et al. does not disclose a tie-layer disposed between the inside and outside layers.

Fontirroche however discloses a tie-layer, comprising Plexar ™ for example, may be used to bond together dissimilar outer plastic layers, see column 2, lines 35-38. Moreover, Fontirroche discloses that the chemical bonding between two catheter layers can be subsequently heat treated, see column 3, lines 17-20. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Plexar as a tie-layer to bond the plastic layers of the Sirhan et al. in view of Javier, Jr. et al. catheter, as taught by Fontirroche.

6. Claims 60 and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sirhan et al., 5,743,875, in view of Javier, Jr. et al., 6,093,177, further in view of Fontirroche et al., 5,538,510, as applied to claim 59, and further in view of Berg et al., 5,792,116.

Although Sirhan in view of Javier and further in view of Fontirroche disclose the invention substantially as claimed, they however do not disclose the first tube being formed of PEBA distal of said tie-layer.

Berg et al. however discloses PEBA as a known polymer used to form catheters, see column 6, line 8. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 3763

at the time the invention was made to use PEBA, as taught by Berg et al., as the polymeric material to form the outside layer in the Sirhan et al. in view of Fontirroche et al. device.

7. Claims 75-81, 87-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sirhan et al., 5,743,875, in view of Ressemann et al., 5,571,087.

Sirhan et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed. More specifically Sirhan et al. discloses a first tube (32) including an inflation lumen (36 and proximal portion of 37) in fluid communication with a dilatation balloon (distal portion of 37), an inside wall surface, and an outside wall surface, said first tube having an orifice (42) in a proximal portion of said first tube; a second tube inserted through, and extending distally from said orifice inside said inflation lumen, said second tube having a length, a lumen therethrough, a proximal portion, an inside wall surface and an outside wall surface, see Figure 16; and a bonding region wherein said second tube outside wall surface is bonded to said first tube outside wall surface by re-flow of the first and second tube outside wall surfaces, see column 3, lines 17-23, and column 7, line 59 – column 8, line 16, said second tube inside wall surface being formed of a second, lubricous material for a majority of said second tube length, said first tube wall having a layer of a first, flexible material extending for a majority of said first tube length, said first material being different from said second material, see column 9, lines 46-53.

As to claim 76, said bonding region is proximate said orifice, see column 5, lines 52-56, and see Figure 6.

Art Unit: 3763

As to claim 77, said bonding region includes bonding between said first tube inside surface and said second tube outside surface distal of said orifice, see column 3, lines 17-23.

As to claim 78, said second tube inside and outside wall surfaces are formed of said second material, see column 9, lines 46-53.

As to claim 79, said second tube wall is formed of substantially said second material therethrough, see column 9, lines 46-53.

As to claim 80, said first tube inside surface is formed of said second material proximate said bonding region, see column 9, lines 46-53.

As to claims 81, 89, said first tube has said second material disposed over most of said first tube inside surface proximate said bonding region and distal of said bonding region, see column 9, lines 46-53.

As to claims 83, 87, 88, 90, Sirhan et al. disclose that the catheter shaft, including the inner and outer tubular members may be made from polymeric materials such as polyethylene, polyamide, etc and other suitable polymeric materials, see column 9, lines 46-53.

The third tube, as claimed by Applicant, is a proximal section of said first tube, or alternatively, a proximal section of said second tube.

However, Sirhan et al. does not teach a third tube, the first tube being disposed distal of the third tube; and a core wire extending distally from the third tube into the first tube.

Art Unit: 3763

Ressemann et al. however teaches a catheter (20) having a section (22) being relatively stiff and the distal shaft section (23) being relatively flexible, the configuration of which will tend to bend or buckle in the relatively flexible area as an attempt is made to advance the catheter (2) through a vasculature, the catheter tending to kink at the transition section. Ressemann et al. teaches that a core wire (25), see column 7, lines 26-27, in the catheter provides two functions: to provide axial or column strength to the distal shaft section (24); and to prevent kinking of the distal shaft section (24). It would have been obvious to provide axial strength to the distal shaft section, and/or to prevent kinking of the distal shaft section, and/or to prevent kinking of the distal shaft section, which may comprise a different material from a proximal section.

8. Claims 82, 93, 95 and 99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sirhan et al., in view of Ressemann et al., and further in view of Fontirroche et al., 5,538,510. Sirhan et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, see above. However, Sirhan et al. does not disclose a tie-layer disposed between the inside and outside layers.

Fontirroche discloses a tie-layer, comprising Plexar ™ for example, may be used to bond together dissimilar outer plastic layers, see column 2, lines 35-38. Moreover, Fontirroche discloses that the chemical bonding between two catheter layers can be subsequently heat treated, see column 3, lines 17-20. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Plexar as

Art Unit: 3763

a tie-layer to bond the plastic layers of the Sirhan et al. catheter, as taught by Fontirroche.

9. Claim 83 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sirhan et al., in view of Ressemann et al., and further in view of Berg et al., 5,792,116. Sirhan et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, see above. However, Sirhan et al. does not disclose an outside layer of PEBA.

Berg et al. however discloses PEBA as a known polymer used to form catheters, see column 6, line 8. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use PEBA, as taught by Berg et al., as the polymeric material to form the outside layer in the Sirhan et al. apparatus.

unpatentable over Sirhan et al., in view of Ressemann et al., and further in view of Fontirroche et al., 5,538,510, and further in view of Berg et al., 5,792,116. Sirhan et al. in view of Fontirroche et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, see above with respect to claim 56. More specifically, Sirhan discloses that polymeric materials may be used to form the inner or outer tubular members, see column 9, lines 46-53. However, Sirhan et al. in view of Fontirroche et al. does not disclose an outside layer of PEBA.

Art Unit: 3763

Berg et al. however discloses PEBA as a known polymer used to form catheters, see column 6, line 8. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use PEBA, as taught by Berg et al., as the polymeric material to form the outside layer in the Sirhan et al. in view of Fontirroche et al. device.

11. Claim 84 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sirhan et al., in view of Ressemann et al., and further in view of Javier, Jr. et al., 6,093,177. Sirhan et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, see above. However, Sirhan et al. does not disclose the first tube having an inside surface formed of said second material proximate said bonding region and has said inside surface formed of said first material distal of said bonding region.

However, Javier, Jr. et al. discloses that relative flexibility or the inverse stiffness of the various shaft sections of a catheter may be achieved by selecting different materials. "[T]he material used in the intermediate shaft section may be inherently more flexible than a different material used in the proximal or distal shaft sections", see column 2, lines 5-15. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide different materials on different shaft sections of the Sirhan et al. catheter in order to achieve the desired flexibility of the catheter shaft sections as may be necessary for a particular treatment of a patient

Art Unit: 3763

12. Claims 85, 91, and 97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sirhan et al., in view of Ressemann et al., and further in view of Javier, Jr. et al., 6,093,177, and further in view of Fontirroche et al., 5,538,510.

Sirhan et al. in view of Javier, Jr. et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed, see above with respect to claim 58. However, Sirhan et al. in view of Javier et al. does not disclose a tie-layer disposed between the inside and outside layers.

Fontirroche however discloses a tie-layer, comprising Plexar ™ for example, may be used to bond together dissimilar outer plastic layers, see column 2, lines 35-38. Moreover, Fontirroche discloses that the chemical bonding between two catheter layers can be subsequently heat treated, see column 3, lines 17-20. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Plexar as a tie-layer to bond the plastic layers of the Sirhan et al. in view of Javier, Jr. et al. catheter, as taught by Fontirroche.

13. Claims 86, 92 and 98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being. unpatentable over Sirhan et al., in view of Ressemann et al., and further in view of Javier, Jr. et al., 6,093,177, further in view of Fontirroche et al., 5,538,510, and further in view of Berg et al., 5,792,116.

Although Sirhan in view of Javier and further in view of Fontirroche disclose the invention substantially as claimed, they however do not disclose the first tube being formed of PEBA distal of said tie-layer.

Art Unit: 3763

Berg et al. however discloses PEBA as a known polymer used to form catheters, see column 6, line 8. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use PEBA, as taught by Berg et al., as the polymeric material to form the outside layer in the Sirhan et al. in view of Fontirroche et al. device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Y. Lam whose telephone number is (703) 306-5560. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 8-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (703)308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3590 for regular communications and (703)306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

July 14, 2002

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER